Case 1:07-cv-10233-AKH	Document 1	Filed 11/02/2007	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	aK.		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
ALEXANDER CRANDELL		DOCKET NO.	
Pla	intiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SH COMPLAINT RELATED TO THI MASTER COMPLA	E
- against -		MASIER COMPLA	AINI
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		PLAINTIFF(S) DEN JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Def	endants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alv 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master C			<u> </u>
	NOTICE O	F ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in instant Paintiff(s) as if fully set forth I Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. The	nerein in addit	ion to those paragraphs	specific to the individ

the lual f(s), and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.

Plaintiffs, ALEXANDER CRANDELL, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

indivi	1. dual and	☐ Plaintiff, ALEXANDER CRA lacitizen of New York residing at		•
		Č	(OR)	•
	2.	Alternatively, □	is the	_ of Decedent
		, and brings this claim in his (l	her) capacity as of the l	Estate of
		-		

Case 1:07-cv-10233-AKH Documer	nt 1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 2 of 11		
3.	(hereinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a, and has the following relationship to the		
☐ SPOUSE at all relevant times	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ings this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the		
	and (his wife), Plaintiff Other:		
4. In the period from 9/18/2001 to 4/1/2 Construction as a Trailor Driver at:	002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Felix		
Please be as specific as possible when f	filling in the following dates and locations		
The World Trade Center Site ✓ The World Trade Center Site	□ The Barge		
Location(s) (<i>i.e.</i> , building, quadrant, etc.) From on or about <u>To Be Provided</u> until <u>To Be Provided</u> ; Approximately <u>To Be Provided</u> hours per day; for Approximately <u>To Be Provided</u> days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.		
Approximately 16 Be Provided days total. ■ The New York City Medical Examiner's Office From on or about until, Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.	Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:		
The Fresh Kills Landfill From on or about 9/18/2001 until To Be Supplied; Approximately 10 hours per day; for Approximately 196 days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:		
<u> </u>	paper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information.		
5. Injured Plaintiff			
✓ Was exposed to and breathed above;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated		
Was exposed to and inhaled or ingested toxic substances and particulates on all dates at the site(s) indicated above;			
Was exposed to and absorbed or touched toxic or caustic substances on all the site(s) indicated above;			
✓ Other: Not yet determined.			

6.

Injure	d Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to $$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☑ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 6/20/07 and	\square ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
☑ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	✓ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	✓ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	HAULING, LLC, INC.
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
(OR)	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	CORPORATION
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
·	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	\square BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☑ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on 6/20/07	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
✓ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
✓ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
=======================================	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC. ☐ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC. ☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EN-TECH CORF
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL ☐ EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL
,	LL VAINS EN VIKONWEN I AL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ ROBERT L GEROSA, INC

✓ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

☑ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

✓ WSP CANTOR SEINUK GROUP

☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

☐ OTHER:

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	· ·
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-10233-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

	ounded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; spelization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers ☐; ☐ Contested, b	Jurisdi	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
remo	removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.			
	III CAUSE	S OF	ACTION	
Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above named defendants based upon the following theories of liability, and asserts each element necessary to establish such a claim under the applicable substantive law:				
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation	
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided 	
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined	
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death	
			Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff	
			Other:	

Case 1:07-cv-10233-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:			Cardiovascular Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
V	Respiratory Injury: Cough Date of onset: 5/3/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		V	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 5/3/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		V	Other Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged. 2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:				
\checkmark	Pain and suffering			
\checkmark	Loss of the enjoyment of life			
✓	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity			
✓	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation			
V	Other:			

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ Mental anguish✓ Disability

✓ Medical monitoring

☑ Other: Not yet determined._

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York October 31, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Alexander Crandell

Bv:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
October 31, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Case 1:07-cv-10233-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 11 of 11 Docket No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK ALEXANDER CRANDELL, Plaintiff(s) - against -A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., Defendant(s). SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700 To Attorney(s) for Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated, Attorney(s) for PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: \square NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on □ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at 20 at $\mathbf{M}.$

Yours, etc.,

Dated,

WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP